



## Cuban Journal of Public and Business Administration

Superior School of State and Government Cadres

### Article evaluation report

Article title: \_\_\_\_\_

Code: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Article type:  Original article     Review article     Good practices     Case study  
 Reflection     Technical report

Review Round:  First     Second     Third

<b>ARTICLE PROFILE</b>					
<b>Scientific content</b>					
Use of scientific-technical vocabulary	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
Appropriate methods used	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
Correct conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
Correct recommendations	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
Appropriate bibliography used	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
<b>Originality (For Good Practices and Technical Reports, emphasize applicability and usefulness)</b>					
Approach, form of analysis and original and useful results	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
Exposed results differ from what is known in the literature and from practice	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
Applicability and relevance in the context of reference	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
<b>Up-to-dateness of the content and alignment to the journal</b>					
Problematic and thematic has international and/or national news	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
Alignment to APyE Journal and convenience	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
Keeps time for more than a year	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good
Correspondence with policies, laws and regulations (for articles from Cuba)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Very good

#### NOTES TO AUTHORS

Include the indications that are deemed convenient for the authors, always distinguishing three sections: (a) observations on the presentation, (b) recommendations and (c) comments. Additional sheets can be used, but always indicating the sections (a), (b) or (c), to which it refers.

(a) General remarks:

(b) Non-essential improvements:

(c) Essential modifications:



## Cuban Journal of Public and Business Administration

Superior School of State and Government Cadres

### Article evaluation report

Referee: \_\_\_\_\_

Article title: \_\_\_\_\_

Code: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Article type:  Original article    Review article    Good practices    Case study  
 Reflection    Technical report

Review Round:  First    Second    Third

#### REFEREE'S RECOMMENDATION

The article is:  
(Check only one box)

- Must be published in its original form
- Adequate in principle, but should be returned to authors for rewrite
- Must be rejected
- Must be submitted to another journal for publication

#### NOTES TO THE REFEREE

Justify the recommendation made: if it was accepted, briefly indicate the contribution of the article, if it was rejected, state the reasons.

#### REFEREE COMMENTS

You can add comments regarding the aspects evaluated, referring to the aspect as such, including any other observation that contributes to the foundation of the proposal.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Referee signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date